

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : <b>C07F 9/38</b>		A1	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 97/05149</b> (43) International Publication Date: <b>13 February 1997 (13.02.97)</b>
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/GB96/01548</b> (22) International Filing Date: <b>27 June 1996 (27.06.96)</b>		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
(30) Priority Data: <b>9515265.8 25 July 1995 (25.07.95) GB</b>		Published <i>With international search report.</i>	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): <b>ZENECA LIMITED (GB/GB); 15 Stanhope Gate, London W1Y 6LN (GB).</b>			
(72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): <b>HODGKINSON, Ian [GB/GB]; 1 Bankfield Drive, Holmbridge, Huddersfield, Yorkshire HD7 1PH (GB).</b>			
(74) Agents: <b>RICKS, Michael, James et al.; Zeneca Agrochemicals, Intellectual Property Dept., Jealotts Hill Research Station, P.O. Box 3538, Bracknell, Berkshire RG42 6YA (GB).</b>			
(54) Title: <b>PROCESS FOR THE PREPARATION OF N-PHOSPHONOMETHYGLYCINE</b>			
(57) Abstract			
<p><i>N</i>-(phosphonomethylglycine)(glyphosate) or a salt or ionic form thereof is removed from an aqueous mixture such as an effluent stream in which it is present by addition to the mixture of ions capable of forming an insoluble or partially soluble complex glyphosate salt, and removing the complex salt from the mixture. Iron (III) ions are particularly suitable. In a preferred integrated process, glyphosate is recovered from the complex glyphosate salt.</p>			

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LJ	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Larvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

**Process for the preparation of N-Phosphonomethylglycine.**

The present invention relates to processes for the preparation of *N*-phosphonomethylglycine (glyphosate), a broad spectrum herbicide which is widely used 5 throughout the world. In particular, the invention relates to a means of reducing the amount of glyphosate which is expelled with the effluent from the manufacturing processes.

There are several well known manufacturing routes by which glyphosate can be prepared, for example the routes set out in US 3,969,398, US 3,799,758, US 3,927,080, US 4,237,065 and US 4,065,491, but all of these routes have a common problem. This is that, 10 however efficient the process, there will usually be some wastage of product. Potentially, the presence of glyphosate in effluent can present environmental problems because of its herbicidal properties.

Wastage of product is, of course, also undesirable from the point of view of the manufacturer who wishes to produce glyphosate as economically as possible and so, for all of 15 these reasons, it is essential that as little of the product as possible is expelled with effluent from the plant.

EP-A-0323821 addresses the problem of treating the waste stream from a glyphosate plant and suggests the solution of contacting the waste stream with a transition metal catalyst in a mixture or solution. The mixture or solution must be contacted with a molecular oxygen-containing gas and the reaction mass heated to a sufficient temperature to initiate and sustain 20 the oxidation reactions of phosphonomethylglycine derivatives. This process has the disadvantage, however, that it is expensive since the catalyst, the contacting with the molecular oxygen containing gas and the heating all add to the cost. In addition, the reaction must often be conducted at elevated pressure which, again, increases the costs of the 25 treatment process.

The present invention relates to an efficient and cost effective process for removing glyphosate or a salt or derivative thereof from a mixture in which it is present. Usually, the mixture will be the effluent which is produced in the manufacturing process but this will not always be the case and, indeed, this method can be used to remove glyphosate from any 30 aqueous mixture.

In a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a process for removing glyphosate or a salt or ionic form thereof from an aqueous mixture in which it is present, the

process comprising adding to the mixture ions capable of forming an insoluble or partially soluble complex glyphosate salt, and removing the complex salt from the mixture.

Examples of water soluble salts of glyphosate include the sodium (for example monosodium and disodium salts), potassium, ammonium, trimethylsulfonium and 5 isopropylammonium salts. Ionic forms of glyphosate include the protonated form or the zwitterion.

When the ions are added to an aqueous mixture containing glyphosate, the present inventors have discovered that a complex salt appears to form. The complex salt is insoluble in water and precipitates out of solution. The ions added to the mixture may be iron (III), 10 calcium, magnesium or aluminium ions. However, iron (III) ions are preferred since they form the least soluble complex salts which are, therefore, most easily removed.

The formation and/or the solubility of the complex salt may be pH dependent and, usually, acid or neutral conditions may be required. In the case of iron (III) the pH of the 15 glyphosate-containing mixture may be adjusted to pH 6 or less before the addition of the iron (III) salt. It is preferred that the pH is from 1 to 4, for example about pH 3.

The ions which are added to the glyphosate-containing mixture will preferably be added in the form of a water soluble salt. Since, as mentioned above, the formation of the complex salt appears to be pH dependent, the salt should be one which is soluble in acidic 20 solutions, for example of pH 5 or less. In the case of iron (III) the soluble salt may be, for example, the sulphate, chloride or hydroxide.

The formation of complex salts of glyphosate with metal ions has been noted, for example by Hensley *et al*, (*Weed Research* 18, 293-297 (1978)) and complex salts of 25 glyphosate with iron (III) have been discussed by McBride *et al* in *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 53, 1668-1673. However, the effect does not seem to have been perceived as being useful or put to any industrial use. The structure of the complex salts is not completely clear but, in the case of iron (III), a complex salt is formed which appears to contain a 1:1 ratio of glyphosate and iron (III), which is insoluble in water and which appears to precipitate out of solution almost quantitatively at ambient temperature.

Once formed, the glyphosate-containing precipitate may be removed by any suitable 30 method, some of the simplest and most effective methods being filtration and centrifugation. In order to assist the separation process, it may be an advantage to employ a flocculating

surfactant at this stage. Suitable flocculating surfactants are well known and are readily available to those skilled in the art.

Thus, the process of the present invention allows glyphosate to be removed from a mixture in which it is present and, when applied to effluent from a glyphosate manufacturing process, can have extremely beneficial environmental effects since the release of glyphosate with the effluent can be prevented.

It is, of course, preferable from the point of view of the manufacturer if the glyphosate can be recovered from the precipitate and the present inventors have also devised a method for achieving this objective.

Once the precipitate of the complex glyphosate salt has been separated, it may be used to form an alkaline aqueous slurry. The raising of the pH causes the decomposition of the complex glyphosate salt to give a soluble glyphosate salt and when iron (III) is used as the counter-ion, the precipitation of iron (III) hydroxide or hydrated iron (III) oxide. Thus this process is particularly advantageous because it not only permits the recovery of glyphosate in a form which can be recombined with glyphosate produced in the manufacturing process, but it also ensures that the iron (III) which was originally used to precipitate the glyphosate is recovered in a form in which it can be converted to a soluble iron (III) salt and re-used in the effluent treatment process of the invention.

In general, the pH of the slurry will be greater than 8 but it is more usual for a higher pH, for example 12 or above, to be used. Typically, the slurry will have a pH of from about 12.2 to 12.8, often about 12.4.

The raising of the pH of the mixture causes the formation of iron (III) hydroxide or hydrated ferric oxide which can then be removed from the mixture by any appropriate method.

The slurry may be formed in two steps: firstly water may be added to the precipitate and mixed to form a slurry and, subsequently, a base may be added to the mixture.

In an alternative procedure, however, the glyphosate-containing complex salt may be added directly to an alkaline solution. Often this will have the advantage of giving a more concentrated glyphosate solution.

In either procedure, the slurry may be formed at ambient temperature.

Any base may be used to raise the pH of the solution but bases which result in the formation of a water soluble glyphosate salt are particularly suitable since the separation of

the iron (III) hydroxide from the glyphosate is then made much easier. Examples of especially suitable bases include sodium and potassium hydroxide. In order to keep the amount of solution to a minimum, it is preferred that the base be relatively concentrated. Conveniently, the base will be a commercially available base, for example 50% w/w sodium hydroxide.

5 The iron (III) hydroxide can be removed from the mixture by a variety of known methods but a particularly appropriate one is centrifugation.

Once the iron (III) hydroxide has been removed from the process, the aqueous solution of the glyphosate salt can be recombined with the product from the main part of the process.

10 The process of the present invention may be operated either as a batch process or as a continuous process.

The invention will now be further illustrated by the following non-limiting examples.

#### EXAMPLE 1

##### **Formation of a Complex Salt of Glyphosate by the Addition of Ferric Sulphate to a 15 Glyphosate-Containing solution**

An aqueous solution (300ml) containing 1% w/w phosphonomethylglycine and 20% w/w sodium chloride, with a total organic carbon content estimated to be 1310 mg/l was treated with 7.2g of a 50% w/w solution of ferric sulphate, the pH being held between 0.9 and 1.1 using sodium hydroxide solution. After removing the precipitate by filtration, the total 20 organic carbon content of the liquors was found to be 60 mg/l.

#### EXAMPLE 2

##### **Formation of a Complex Salt of Glyphosate by the Addition of Ferric Chloride to a Glyphosate-Containing Solution**

An aqueous solution as described in Example 1 above (300ml) was treated with 7.7g 25 of a 50% w/w solution of ferric chloride hexahydrate, the pH of the slurry being maintained at 1.0 using sodium hydroxide solution. After removing the precipitate by filtration, the total organic carbon content of the filtrates was estimated to be 70 mg/l.

#### EXAMPLE 3

##### **Recovery of Glyphosate**

30 A typical process effluent containing N-phosphonomethylglycine (119.7g at 1.35% w/w) was treated with an aqueous solution of ferric sulphate (9.0g at 45% w/w), maintaining the pH at 4.0 by the addition of 47% sodium hydroxide solution. The resulting precipitate

was filtered off and re-slurried with sodium hydroxide solution (38.4g at 23.5% w/w), for one hour at pH 12.7. Removal of the gelatinous hydrated ferric oxide (ferric hydroxide) by filtration produced a pale yellow solution which was found by high performance liquid chromatography to contain 71.8% of the phosphonomethylglycine present in the original sample.

5

#### EXAMPLE 4

##### **Recycle of Ferric Ions**

The hydrated ferric oxide from Example 3 was charged to a further 120g portion of process effluent at pH 1.3 together with fresh ferric sulphate solution (1.8g at 45%), and used 10 to precipitate phosphonomethylglycine from this solution.

Thus, the process of the present invention has the advantages that it ensures a high rate of recovery of glyphosate from a solution containing a soluble glyphosate salt and, particularly when an iron (III) salt has been used to precipitate the glyphosate, it allows high recovery of iron (III) which can then be recycled and re-used to recover more glyphosate. 15 The process of the invention therefore provides an economical way of removing glyphosate from effluent in which it is present, which, unlike prior art processes, does not involve the use of expensive reagents or severe reaction conditions such as elevated temperature and pressure.

CLAIMS

1. A process for removing *N*-(phosphonomethylglycine) (glyphosate) or a salt or ionic form thereof from an aqueous mixture in which it is present, the process comprising adding to the mixture ions capable of forming an insoluble or partially soluble complex glyphosate salt, and removing the complex salt from the mixture.  
5
2. A process as claimed in claim 1, wherein the ions are calcium, magnesium, aluminium or iron (III) ions.  
10
3. A process as claimed in claim 2, wherein the ions are iron (III) ions and the pH of the glyphosate-containing mixture is adjusted to pH 6 or less before the addition of the iron (III) salt.  
15
4. A process as claimed in claim 3, wherein the pH of the mixture is adjusted to from 1 to 4, for example about pH 3.  
20
5. A process as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein the ions are added in the form of a salt which is soluble in aqueous solution at the pH of the glyphosate-containing mixture.  
25
6. A process as claimed in claim 5, wherein the ion is iron (III) and the soluble salt is the sulphate, chloride or hydroxide.  
30
7. A process as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the precipitate is removed by filtration or centrifugation.  
8. A process as claimed in any one of claims 2 to 7 wherein the ions capable of forming an insoluble or partially soluble complex glyphosate salt are iron (III) ions, further comprising recovering glyphosate from the precipitate of complex salt by a process comprising forming an aqueous slurry of the precipitate having a pH greater than 8 and removing the resultant

ferric hydroxide or hydrated ferric oxide from the slurry to give an aqueous solution containing glyphosate.

9. A process as claimed in claim 8, wherein the pH of the slurry is above about pH 12.
10. A process as claimed in claim 8 or claim 9, wherein the slurry is formed by adding water to the precipitate and subsequently adding a base or by adding the precipitate to an aqueous solution of base.
- 10 11. A process as claimed in claim 10, wherein the base is an alkali metal hydroxide.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte...al Application No  
PCT/GB 96/01548

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 C07F9/38

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 C07F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP,A,0 323 821 (MONSANTO CO.) 12 July 1989 cited in the application see the whole document ---	1-11
A	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 106, no. 5, 2 February 1987 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 033318, OTAL OLIVAN J V ET AL: "Procedure for obtaining N-phosphonomethylglycine" XP002015599 see abstract & ES,A,545 456 (ORGASA) 1 January 1986 ---	1-11

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

\*&\* document member of the same patent family

1

Date of the actual completion of the international search

10 October 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

21-10-1996

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Beslier, L

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l Application No  
PCT/GB 96/01548

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 109, no. 22, 28 November 1988 Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract no. 196680. SUBRAMANIAM V ET AL: "Metal complexes of glyphosate" XP002015600 see abstract & J. AGRIC. FOOD CHEM. (JAFCAU, 00218561);88; VOL.36 (6); PP.1326-9, NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIV.;DEP. CHEM.; FARGO; 58105; ND; USA (US), ---	1-11
A	EP,A,0 115 176 (STAUFFER CHEMICAL CO.) 8 August 1984 see the whole document ---	1-11
A	EP,A,0 109 314 (STAUFFER CHEMICAL CO.) 23 May 1984 see the whole document ---	1-11
P,X	WO,A,96 15135 (ZENECA LTD.) 23 May 1996 see page 6, paragraphs 2 and 3 and example 11 -----	1-11

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Inte	Application No
PC1/GB 96/01548	

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP-A-323821	12-07-89	US-A-	4851131	25-07-89
		AU-A-	2763488	06-07-89
		DE-A-	3872207	23-07-92
		JP-A-	1215394	29-08-89
		JP-C-	1617518	12-09-91
		JP-B-	2044596	04-10-90
EP-A-115176	08-08-84	US-A-	4472189	18-09-84
		AU-A-	2288183	05-07-84
		BG-A-	44710	16-01-89
		CA-A-	1224472	21-07-87
		JP-A-	59130895	27-07-84
EP-A-109314	23-05-84	US-A-	4481026	06-11-84
		AU-A-	2137783	21-06-84
		BG-A-	38934	14-03-86
		CA-A-	1205818	10-06-86
		JP-A-	59101500	12-06-84
WO-A-9615135	23-05-96	AU-A-	3810495	06-06-96